

Computation of the monodromy of generalized polylogarithms



BY JORIS VAN DER HOEVEN

Lab. : LIFL, Université de Lille I, France

: LIX, École polytechnique, France

Email: vdhoeven@lix.polytechnique.fr

Web : <http://lix.polytechnique.fr:80/~vdhoeven/>

JOINT WORK WITH H.N. MINH AND M. PETITOT



Rostock, 20-8-1998

Generalized polylogarithms

Polylogarithms

$s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$: multiindex of positive integers.

$$\text{Li}_s(z) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \dots n_k^{s_k}}.$$

Converges for $|z| < 1$.

Generalized ζ -function

At $z = 1$, we have convergence if $s_1 > 1$:

$$\zeta_s = \text{Li}_s(1) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \dots n_k^{s_k}},$$

In particular, $\zeta(p) = \zeta_{(p)}$ for all $p > 1$.

Iterated integrals

Definition of Li_w for $w \in X^* = \{x_0, x_1\}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}L_{x_0^n}(z) &= \frac{1}{n!} \log^n(z); \\L_{x_0 w}(z) &= \int_0^z L_w(t) \frac{dt}{t}; \\L_{x_1 w}(z) &= \int_0^z L_w(t) \frac{dt}{1-t}.\end{aligned}$$

Convergence at 0 if $w \in X^* x_1$.

Convergence at 1 if $w \in x_0 X^*$.

$$\text{Li}_{(s_1, \dots, s_k)} = \text{Li}_{x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 x_0^{s_2-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_k-1} x_1}.$$

Combinatorial encoding

$$L(z) = \sum_{w \in X^*} L_w(z) w$$

Differential equation for L :

$$\frac{d}{dz} L(z) = \left(\frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z} \right) L(z).$$

Indeed:

$$L(z) = 1 + \sum_{u \in X^*} L_{x_0 u}(z) x_0 u + \sum_{v \in X^*} L_{x_1 v}(z) x_1 v,$$

Main results

1. $L(z)$ is a Lie exponential
2. Asymptotic expansions of L
3. Monodromy of L around 1
4. Fast computations
5. Linear independence of the L_w

$L(z)$ is a Lie exponential

Shuffle product

$$\begin{aligned}\forall w \in X^*, \quad 1 \text{ III } w &= w \text{ III } 1 = w, \\ \forall u, v \in X^*, \quad xu \text{ III } yv &= x(u \text{ III } yv) + y(xu \text{ III } v).\end{aligned}$$

Example: $x_0x_1 \text{ III } x_1 = x_1x_0x_1 + 2x_0x_1^2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}x_0 & x_1 & & x_0 & & x_1 & \\ & & & & & & \\x_1 & & & & & & x_1\end{array}$$

$R\langle X \rangle$: non commutative polynomials in X over ring R .

Shuffle algebra $\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X \rangle$: $R\langle X \rangle$ with III (extended linearly).

Lyndon words

Let $<$ be the lexicographical ordering on X^* .

A **Lyndon word** is a non empty word $l \in X^*$, such that

$$\forall u, v \in X^+, l = uv \Rightarrow l < v$$

Lyndon words up till length 5:

$$\{x_0, x_0^4x_1, x_0^3x_1, x_0^3x_1^2, x_0^2x_1, x_0^2x_1x_0x_1, x_0^2x_1^2, x_0^2x_1^3, x_0x_1, x_0x_1x_0x_1^2, x_0x_1^2, x_0x_1^3, x_0x_1^4, x_1\}.$$

Radford: The Lyndon words form a transcendence basis for $\text{Sh}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X \rangle$.

Lie exponentials

$R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$: non commutative series in X over ring R .

$\mathcal{L}ie_R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \subset R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$: Lie series generated from X by \square .

$(S|u) = S_u$: coefficient of u in $S \in R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

$S \in R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ Lie exponential if

1. There exists a Lie series $L \in \mathcal{L}ie_R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ with $S = e^L$.
2. $\forall u, v \in X^*$, $(S|u \sqcup v) = (S|u)(S|v)$.
3. $\Delta(S) = S \otimes S$, where Δ denotes the usual coproduct $\Delta : R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \rightarrow R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \otimes R\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, which is defined on letters $x \in X$ by $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$.

$L(z)$ is a Lie exponential

Suffices to prove this for a particular z .

Observation: $L(\varepsilon) = e^{x_0 \log \varepsilon} + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$.

Indeed, $L_w(\varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon^n \log^{|w|_1} \varepsilon)$, by induction.

Consequence: $L(x)$ “is a Lie exponential at the limit”.

Rigorous proof

Claim: let $T(z) = \Delta L(z) - L(z) \otimes L(z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T'(z) &= (\Delta V(z)) T(z), \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} T(\varepsilon) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $V(z) = \left(\frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z}\right)$.

Consequence: $T(z) = 0$ for all z by induction and integration.

Formula for T'

Clearly $\Delta(L') = (\Delta L)'$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} T' &= \Delta(L') - (L' \otimes L + L \otimes L') \\ &= \Delta(VL) - (VL \otimes L + L \otimes VL) \\ &= \Delta(V)\Delta(L) - (V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes V)(L \otimes L) \\ &= \Delta(V)\Delta(L) - (\Delta V)(L \otimes L) \\ &= \Delta(V) [\Delta(L) - (L \otimes L)] \\ &= \Delta(V) T. \end{aligned}$$

Limit condition

$L(\varepsilon) = e^{x_0 \log \varepsilon} + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $e^{x_0 \log \varepsilon}$ is a Lie exponential. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varepsilon) &= \Delta L(\varepsilon) - L(\varepsilon) \otimes L(\varepsilon), \\ &= \Delta(O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})) - O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \otimes e^{x_0 \log \varepsilon} \\ &\quad - e^{x_0 \log \varepsilon} \otimes O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) - O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) \otimes O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic expansions of L at 1

The claim

$L_w(1 - \varepsilon)$ is a convergent series in $C[\log \varepsilon]_{|w|}[[\varepsilon]]$.

In view of the shuffle relation

$$L_{v \amalg w}(z) = L_v(z)L_w(z).$$

we only need to treat the case when w is a Lyndon word.

Proof by induction on $|w|$

For x_0 and x_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_0}(1 - \varepsilon) &= -\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon^3 - \dots; \\ L_{x_1}(1 - \varepsilon) &= \log \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For longer Lyndon words $w = x_0v \in x_0X^*x_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} L_w(1 - \varepsilon) &= \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \frac{L_v(z)}{z} dz \\ &= \zeta_w - \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \frac{L_v(z)}{z} dz \\ &= \zeta_w - \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{L_v(1-z)}{1-z} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Induction hypothesis \Rightarrow convergent expansion for $L_v(1 - z)$.

Integrate convergent asymptotic expansion of $\frac{L_v(1-z)}{1-z}$.

Expansion of $L_{x_1 x_0^2}(1 - \varepsilon)$

Using the program `xtaylor`, we find

$$w = x_0 x_1^2 - x_1 \text{III } x_0 x_1 + \frac{1}{2} x_0 \text{III } x_1^{\text{III } 2}.$$

We have

$$L_{x_0}(1 - \varepsilon) = -\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 - O(\varepsilon^{5/2});$$

$$L_{x_1}(1 - \varepsilon) = \log \varepsilon;$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_0 x_1}(1 - \varepsilon) &= \zeta_{x_0 x_1} - \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\log z}{1 - z} dz \\ &= \zeta_{x_0 x_1} - (\log \varepsilon - 1)\varepsilon - \left(\frac{1}{2} \log \varepsilon - \frac{1}{4}\right)\varepsilon^2 - O(\varepsilon^{5/2}); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_0 x_1^2}(1 - \varepsilon) &= \zeta_{x_0 x_1^2} - \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\log^2 z}{1 - z} dz \\ &= \zeta_{x_0 x_1^2} - (\log^2 \varepsilon - 2 \log \varepsilon + 2)\varepsilon - \left(\frac{1}{2} \log^2 \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\right)\varepsilon^2 - O(\varepsilon^{5/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_1^2 x_0}(1 - \varepsilon) &= \zeta_{x_0 x_1} \log \varepsilon + \zeta_{x_0 x_1^2} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{5}{2} \log^2 \varepsilon - 3 \log \varepsilon + 2\right)\varepsilon \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{5}{4} \log^2 \varepsilon - \frac{3}{4} \log \varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\right)\varepsilon^2 \\ &\quad - O(\varepsilon^{5/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Monodromy of L

Chen series

γ : differentiable path $[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ between a and b .

S_γ : evaluation in b of solution to

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dz} S(z) &= \left(\frac{x_0}{z} + \frac{x_1}{1-z} \right) S(z); \\ S(a) &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

$S_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$: **Chen series**.

Properties

S_γ is a Lie exponential, determined by the homotopy class of γ .

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} &= S_{\gamma_2} S_{\gamma_1}; \\ S_{\gamma^{-1}} &= S_\gamma^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$L(z) = S_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} L(z_0).$$

Estimations for Chen series around 0 and 1

$\gamma_0(R)$: circular path around 0 of radius R .

$\gamma_1(R)$: circular path around 1 of radius R .

By induction over $|w|$, assuming $R < 1/2$:

$$(S_{\gamma_0(R)}|w) \leq \frac{1}{|w|!} (2\pi)^{|w|} (2R)^{|w|_{x_1}}.$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, this estimate yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\gamma_0(\varepsilon)} &= e^{2i\pi x_0} + O(\varepsilon), \\ S_{\gamma_1(\varepsilon)} &= e^{-2i\pi x_1} + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Monodromy

$\mathcal{M}_0 L$: analytic continuation of L around 0.

$\mathcal{M}_1 L$: analytic continuation of L around 1.

$$\mathcal{M}_i L(t) = S_{\gamma_i(t)} L(t) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

We will show how to compute Lie exponentials $M_0, M_1 \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$, which do not depend on t , such that $\forall t \in]0, 1[$

$$\mathcal{M}_i L(t) = L(t) M_i, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

Monodromy around 0

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_0 L(t) &= S_{\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow t} S_{\gamma_0(\varepsilon)} S_{t \rightsquigarrow \varepsilon} L(t), \\ &= L(t) L^{-1}(\varepsilon) S_{\gamma_0(\varepsilon)} L(\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$M_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} L^{-1}(\varepsilon) S_{\gamma_0(\varepsilon)} L(\varepsilon) = e^{2i\pi x_0}.$$

Indeed, for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have commutation of

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\gamma_0(\varepsilon)} &= e^{2i\pi x_0} + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \\ L(\varepsilon) &= e^{(\log \varepsilon)x_0} + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}).\end{aligned}$$

Monodromy around 1

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_1 L(t) &= S_{1-\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow t} S_{\gamma_1(\varepsilon)} S_{t \rightsquigarrow 1-\varepsilon} L(t), \\ &= L(t) L^{-1}(1-\varepsilon) S_{\gamma_1(\varepsilon)} L(1-\varepsilon),\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$M_1 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} L^{-1}(1-\varepsilon) e^{-2i\pi x_1} L(1-\varepsilon).$$

By abstract nonsense, this limit exists.

Algorithm: using that $L(1-\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}[\log \varepsilon][[\varepsilon]]\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

Efficient algorithm

Factorization of a Lie exponential S

$$S = \sum_{w \in X^*} (S|w) w \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}yndon(X) \setminus \downarrow} e^{(S|P^*(l))P(l)}.$$

Example at order 4

$$\begin{aligned} L(z) &= e^{L_{x_1}(z)x_1} e^{L_{x_0 x_1 x_1}(z)[[x_0, x_1], x_1]} e^{L_{x_0 x_1}(z)[x_0, x_1]} \\ &\times e^{L_{x_0 x_0 x_1}(z)[x_0, [x_0, x_1]]} e^{L_{x_0}(z)x_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Formula for M_1

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= Z^{-1} e^{-2i\pi x_1} Z \\ Z &= \prod_{l \notin \{x_0, x_1\} \setminus \downarrow} e^{\zeta_{P^*(l)} P(l)} \end{aligned}$$

Here $P^*(l) \in x_0 \mathbb{Z}\langle X \rangle x_1$ for $l \notin \{x_0, x_1\}$.

Bracket form

$l \in \mathcal{Lyndon}(X)$: Lyndon word.

$l = uv$ with v as long as possible and $u, v \in \mathcal{Lyndon}(X)$.

bracket form $P(l)$ of l :

$$\begin{aligned} P(l) &= [P(u), P(v)] \\ P(x) &= x \quad \text{for each letter } x \in X, \end{aligned}$$

$B_1 = \{P(l); l \in \mathcal{Lyndon}(X)\}$: basis for the free Lie algebra.

Bases for the free Lie algebra

$$w = l_1^{\alpha_1} l_2^{\alpha_2} \dots l_k^{\alpha_k}, \quad l_1 > l_2 > \dots > l_k, \quad k \geq 0$$

unique factorization of $w \in X^*$ as a \searrow product of Lyndon words.

$$\begin{aligned} P(w) &= P(l_1)^{\alpha_1} P(l_2)^{\alpha_2} \dots P(l_k)^{\alpha_k}, \\ P^*(w) &= CP^*(l_1)^{\text{III } \alpha_1} \text{III } \dots \text{III } P^*(l_k)^{\text{III } \alpha_k}, \\ &\quad \text{where } C = (\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_k!)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(l) &= xP^*(w), \quad \forall l \in \mathcal{Lyndon}(X), \\ &\quad \text{where } l = xw, \quad x \in X, \quad w \in X^*. \end{aligned}$$

$B = \{P(w); w \in X^*\}$: Poincaré–Birkoff–Witt basis.

$B^* = \{P^*(w); w \in X^*\}$: dual basis.

l	$P(l)$	$P^*(l)$
x_0	x_0	x_0
x_1	x_1	x_1
$x_0 x_1$	$[x_0, x_1]$	$x_0 x_1$
$x_0^2 x_1$	$[x_0, [x_0, x_1]]$	$x_0^2 x_1$
$x_0 x_1^2$	$[[x_0, x_1], x_1]$	$x_0 x_1^2$
$x_0^3 x_1$	$[x_0, [x_0, [x_0, x_1]]]$	$x_0^3 x_1$
$x_0^2 x_1^2$	$[x_0, [[x_0, x_1], x_1]]$	$x_0^2 x_1^2$
$x_0 x_1^3$	$[[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1]$	$x_0 x_1^3$
$x_0^4 x_1$	$[x_0, [x_0, [x_0, [x_0, x_1]]]]$	$x_0^4 x_1$
$x_0^3 x_1^2$	$[x_0, [x_0, [[x_0, x_1], x_1]]]$	$x_0^3 x_1^2$
$x_0^2 x_1 x_0 x_1$	$[[x_0, [x_0, x_1]], [x_0, x_1]]$	$2x_0^3 x_1^2 + x_0^2 x_1 x_0 x_1$
$x_0^2 x_1^3$	$[x_0, [[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1]]$	$x_0^2 x_1^3$
$x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^2$	$[[x_0, x_1], [[x_0, x_1], x_1]]$	$3x_0^2 x_1^3 + x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^2$
$x_0 x_1^4$	$[[[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1], x_1]$	$x_0 x_1^4$
$x_0^5 x_1$	$[x_0, [x_0, [x_0, [x_0, [x_0, x_1]]]]]$	$x_0^5 x_1$
$x_0^4 x_1^2$	$[x_0, [x_0, [x_0, [[x_0, x_1], x_1]]]]$	$x_0^4 x_1^2$
$x_0^3 x_1 x_0 x_1$	$[x_0, [[x_0, [x_0, x_1]], [x_0, x_1]]]$	$2x_0^4 x_1^2 + x_0^3 x_1 x_0 x_1$
$x_0^3 x_1^3$	$[x_0, [x_0, [[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1]]]$	$x_0^3 x_1^3$
$x_0^2 x_1 x_0 x_1^2$	$[x_0, [[x_0, x_1], [[x_0, x_1], x_1]]]$	$3x_0^3 x_1^3 + x_0^2 x_1 x_0 x_1^2$
$x_0^2 x_1^2 x_0 x_1$	$[[x_0, [[x_0, x_1], x_1]], [x_0, x_1]]$	$6x_0^3 x_1^3 + 3x_0^2 x_1 x_0 x_1^2 + x_0^2 x_1^2 x_0 x_1$
$x_0^2 x_1^4$	$[x_0, [[[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1], x_1]]$	$x_0^2 x_1^4$
$x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^3$	$[[x_0, x_1], [[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1]]$	$4x_0^2 x_1^4 + x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^3$
$x_0 x_1^5$	$[[[[[x_0, x_1], x_1], x_1], x_1], x_1]$	$x_0 x_1^5$

Table 1: Lyndon words, bracket forms and the dual basis

Some results

A classical result

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \text{Li}_k(z) = \text{Li}_k(z) - 2i\pi \frac{\log^{k-1}(z)}{(k-1)!}, \quad k > 0.$$

Computational results

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 L_{x_1} &= L_{x_1} - 2\pi i; \\ \mathcal{M}_1 L_{x_0 x_1^2} &= L_{x_0 x_1^2} - 2i\pi L_{x_0 x_1} - 2\pi^2 L_{x_0} + 2i\pi \zeta_{x_0 x_1}; \\ \mathcal{M}_1 L_{x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^3} &= L_{x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^3} - 2i\pi L_{x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^2} + \\ &4\pi^2 L_{x_0^2 x_1^2} - \frac{8i\pi^3}{3} L_{x_0^2 x_1} - \pi^2 L_{x_0 x_1}^2 + \\ &\left(\frac{4i\pi^3}{3} L_{x_0} + 2i\pi \zeta_{x_0 x_1^2} + 2\pi^2 \zeta_{x_0 x_1} \right) L_{x_0 x_1} + \\ &\left(-8i\pi \zeta_{x_0 x_1^3} - 4\pi^2 \zeta_{x_0 x_1^2} + \frac{4i\pi^3}{3} \zeta_{x_0 x_1} \right) L_{x_0} + \\ &-4\pi^2 \zeta_{x_0^2 x_1^2} + \frac{8i\pi^3}{3} \zeta_{x_0^2 x_1} + 2i\pi \zeta_{x_0 x_1 x_0 x_1^2} - \pi^2 \zeta_{x_0 x_1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Linear independence

Given $n \geq 0$, assume that we have a \mathbb{C} -linear relation

$$(1) \quad \sum_{|w| \leq n} \lambda_w L_w = 0, \quad \lambda_w \in \mathbb{C}$$

Induction on n : $\lambda_w = 0$ for all w . Rewrite (1) as

$$(2) \quad \lambda_1 + \sum_{|u| < n} \lambda_{ux_0} L_{ux_0} + \sum_{|u| < n} \lambda_{ux_1} L_{ux_1} = 0.$$

Now

$$M_0 = 1 + 2i\pi x_0 + \text{words of length } > 1$$

$$M_1 = 1 - 2i\pi x_1 + \text{words of length } > 1$$

Applying $(M_0 - Id)$ and $(Id - M_1)$ on (2),

$$2i\pi \sum_{|u|=n-1} \lambda_{ux_0} L_u + \sum_{|u| < n-1} \mu_u L_u = 0,$$

$$2i\pi \sum_{|u|=n-1} \lambda_{ux_1} L_u + \sum_{|u| < n-1} \nu_u L_u = 0,$$

for certain coefficients μ_u and ν_u .

Induction hypothesis $\Rightarrow \lambda_{ux_0}$ and λ_{ux_1} vanish for $|u| = n - 1$.

Consequently,

$$\sum_{|w| \leq n-1} \lambda_w L_w = 0,$$

whence $\lambda_w = 0$ for all w , again by the induction hypothesis.